

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,341	08/31/2006	Ran Oren	32176	9510	
67801 MARTIN D. N	7590 08/05/201 MOYNIHAN d/b/a PRT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 164	146	MASHACK, MARK F			
ARLINGTON	, VA 22215		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3773			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/591,341		OREN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARK MASHACK	3773		

	MARK MASHACK	3773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the annualist	a automolom for				
Extensions of uniteringly be doubled united 37 CFT, 130(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-13 and 15-20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/CD/00) Paper No(a)						
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: 	г головлов) Paper No(s)						
/Mark Mashack/	/Danwin D. Eroz-'						
Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner Art U	nit 3773					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Furusawa does not disclose of "said second section of the open slot decreasing in width from said juncture to its end terminating short of and end face." Examiner disagrees. As shown in Fig 19C and the annotated Figure of the office action dated 4/29/2010, the walls of the second section of the open slot are arcuate such that the width of the slot decreases from the broken line which represents the end of the juncture to the end of the second section which is short of the distal end of said end face. Applicant argues that the Examiner has an "warminer than the control of the claim language" wherein the first slot section is formed in a plane at an angle of 10-30 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis of the elongated slot." Examiner disagrees. The left wall of the first section as shown in Fig 19C is shown to be parafler or at 0 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis. The first section is shown to be roughly at 30 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis. The first section is shown to be first section at an angle of 10-30 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis. The first section is shown to be parafler of an angle between one of an angle of 10-30 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis. The first section is stored in a plane at an angle of 10-30 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis of the elongated shaft.